FAX NUMBER:

(571) 273-8300

Mar. 6. 2006 5:35PM Haynes Beffel Wolfeld LLP CENTRAL FAX CENTER No. 3216 P. 1

MAR 0 6 2006

HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW P.O. Box 366, 751 Kelly Street Half Moon Bay, CA 94019 Phone 650-712-0340 Fax 650-712-0263 www.HMBay.com

MARK HAYNES ERNIE BEFFEL WARREN WOLFELD JIM HANN Of Counsel *BILL KENNEDY KENTA SUZUE PETER SU **JOSEPH E. ROOT *admitted in MA only

**admitted in NY only

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL FORM

TRANSMITTED TO:		Central Fax	
OF:		U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	
FROM:		Ernest J. Beffel, Jr.	
CLIENT/MATTER:		Application No. 09/708,944 (BLFR 1000-1)	
DATE:		6 March 2006	
TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET:		20	
ORIGINAL:			
x	WILL NOT FOLLOW		
	FOLLOWS VIA U.S. MAIL		
	FOLLOWS VIA COURIER		

THE DOCUMENTS ACCOMPANYING THIS TELECOPY TRANSMISSION CONTAIN INFORMATION FROM HAYNES BEFFFI.& WOLFELD LLP AND ARE FOR THE SOLE USE OF THE ABOVE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY, AND MAY BE PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER LAW. ANY OTHER DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS. WE WILL REIMBURSE YOUR REASONABLE PHONE AND POSTAGE EXPENSE FOR DOING SO.

Atty Docket No. BLFR 1000-1

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at Facsimile No. (571) 273-8300 and (571) 273-6732 on

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 0.6 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

e M. Milliot

Robert E. DVORAK

Application No. 09/708,944

Confirmation No. 3032

Filed: 08 November 2000

Filed. Co November 2000

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DISTRIBUTION OF FASHION AND

SEASONAL GOODS

Group Art Unit: 3623

Examiner: Romain JEANTY

CUSTOMER NO. 22470

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL OF RESPONSE AND AMENDMENTS AND REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE)

Sir:

In connection with the above-referenced U.S. patent application, transmitted herewith are the following document(s):

- [X] Response and Amendments Removing Case from Appeal and Interview Request;
- [X] Request for Continued Examination (RCE);
- [X] Statement of Substance of Interview; and
- [X] Credit Card Authorization Form (PTO-2038) authorizing a charge of \$395 in payment of the following fee(s): (i) fee for Request for Continued Examination (\$395) (Small Entity).

The Examiner is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 50-0869 (BLFR 1000-1) for any deficit or overpayment of any fees associated with this document.

Respectfully submitted

Dated: 06 March 2006

Ernest J. Beffel, Jr., Reg. No. 43,489

HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP

P.O. Box 366

Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

Telephone:

(650) 712-0340

Facsimile:

(650) 712-0263

Atty Docket No.: BLFR 1000-1

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent & Trademark Office at Facsimile No. (571) 273-8300 and (571) 273-6732 on 6 March 2006.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 0 6 2006

Lymn M. Williot

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Robert E. DVORAK et al.

Application No. 09/708,944

Confirmation No. 3032

Filed: 8 November 2000

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR

DISTRIBUTION OF FASHION AND

SEASONAL GOODS

Group Art Unit: 3623

Examiner: Romain JEANTY

CUSTOMER NO. 22470

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Sir:

In connection with telephone conversations with Examiner Jeanty during the week of on February 27, 2006, in the above-referenced patent application, Applicants hereby submit this Statement of Substance of Interview.

On or about February 27th, Examiner Jeanty called to inquire about the status of the application pending before Examiner Hirl. We promised to call Examiner Hirl and inquire.

On or about Feburary 28th, we advised Examiner Jeanty that the application was not yet up on Examiner Hirl's docket. He encouraged us to add to this case limitations similar to limitations introduced in amendments to claims in the other case.

On or about March 1st, we advised Examiner Jeanty that the limitations in Examiner Hir's case were not compatible with the sort of claims made in this case.

Page 1 of 3

Atty Docket: BLFR 1000-1

Application No.: 09/905,255

On or about March 3rd, we submitted a draft amendment in substantially the form attached. We discussed this draft amendment with Examiner Jeanty. No agreements were reached regarding the allowability of the amended claim, but we asked about § 102, § 112 and the art presently of record, as those issues could readily be addressed without a supplemental search. We understood Examiner Jeanty to indicate that, except for considering whether the application adequately supported the claims (new matter issue), he was comfortable that the draft amendment would not present §§ 102 or 112 issues and would distinguish over the art of record. We understood that the new matter issue was reserved because the Examiner had not reviewed the text of the application. Still, a supplemental search would need to be conducted regarding the

Should it be determined that any fees are required with regard to the filing of this document, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 50-0869 (BLFR 1000-1) accordingly.

amended claims and the results of the search could not be predetermined. We

indicated that an RCE application would most likely follow.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 6 March 2006

Ernest J. Beffel, Jr. / Registration No. 43,489

HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP

P.O. Box 366

Half Moon Bay, CA 94019 Telephone: (650) 712-0340 Facsimile: (650) 712-0263

(draft amendment - not to be entered -- facsimile follows)

Application No.: 09/905,255 Atty Docket: BLFR 1000-1

1. (draft amendment – not to be entered) A computer-implemented method for adjusting a retail location-product specific selling profile for a product, the computer-implemented method including:

collecting on machine-readable memory a multitude of retail location.

product specific selling profiles that record daily or more frequent historical data
for sales, the historical data reflecting at least random variations in sales,
systematic variations in sales due to day of the week and day of the year, and
systematic variations in sales due to any promotions benefiting the retail location;

maintaining on machine-readable memory a retail location, product specific promotions calendar that includes historical data that tracks promotion of the product at the retail location by effective dates and by type of promotion, the type of promotion corresponding to an estimated systematic variation in sales caused by the type of promotion;

repeatedly for at least one product across a plurality of retail locations,

retrieving from a machine-readable memory at least one- the retail location-product specific selling profile for a selected historical period; that includes accumulated daily or more frequent-historical data for at least one-product;

accessing a the retail location promotions calendar data and identifying any promotions that benefited the retail location during the selected historical period; that includes historical data that tracks promotion of the product at the location; and

<u>automatically</u> adjusting the historical data in the retail locationproduct specific selling profile to correct fer one or more <u>reflect the</u> <u>estimated systematic variation in sales caused by the</u> promotions of the product at the retail location; <u>and</u>

storing to machine-readable memory the automatically adjusted historical data.